

**Natural Resources Conservation Service  
Application Ranking Summary  
East Rio Arriba SWCD - Tribal**

<b>Program:</b> EQIP 2002	<b>Ranking Date:</b>
<b>Ranking Tool:</b> East Rio Arriba SWCD - Tribal	
<b>Final Ranking Score:</b>	
<b>Planner:</b>	
<b>Farm Location:</b>	

**National Priorities Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	60 Point(s)
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable amount of ground or surface water conservation?	60 Point(s)
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> ), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	60 Point(s)
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	20 Point(s)
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	50 Point(s)

**State Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)

**Local Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. GR #1 Minimum local issue score must be 75 points to be considered for funding.	0 Point(s)
2. GR #2 Is the operation going to convert from a Continuous Use to Seasonal Use?	25 Point(s)
3. GR #3 Is the operation going to convert from either Seasonal to Seasonal Rotation?	75 Point(s)
4. GR #4 Will the proposed grazing system operation result in a deferment of 25-50% of the Growing season?	25 Point(s)

**Natural Resources Conservation Service**  
**Application Ranking Summary**  
**East Rio Arriba SWCD - Tribal**

<b>Issue Questions</b>	<b>Responses</b>
5. GR #5 Will the proposed grazing operation result in a deferment period of 51-90% of the growing season?	75 Point(s)
6. GR #6 Are their planned practices to protect and manage riparian areas in this operation(fence, tree & shrub establishment, range planting,grade stabelization structure)	50 Point(s)
7. GR #7 Does operation have Class A, B, C weed(s) and is, or willing to address weeds with SWCD and Extension?	25 Point(s)
8. GR #8 Will planned treatments bring the planned land units to an RMS level management?	50 Point(s)
9. GR #9 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule?	-50 Point(s)
10. GR #10 Has applicant had an EQIP contract that was terminated or cancelled without good justification?	-50 Point(s)
11. GR #11 Will this treatment include practices that will address sheet and rill erosion(diversion, pond, grade stablization structure, critical area planting)	25 Point(s)
12. GR #12 Is the client going to apply a minimum of two practices listed that result in a reduction of soil erosion and enhances grazing lands? (Fence, Livestock water facility, grade stabilization structure, brush management, range planting, tree & shrub establishment?	25 Point(s)
13. GR #13 Is the client going to apply a minimum of three practices listed above?	75 Point(s)
14. Irr.Crop #1 Minimum local issue score must be 50 points to be cocidered for funding.	0 Point(s)
15. Irr.Crop #2 Will the treatment improve irrigation efficiency by at least 15%	50 Point(s)
16. Irr.Crop #3 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 15-25%	10 Point(s)
17. Irr.Crop #4 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 26-35%	20 Point(s)
18. Irr.Crop #5 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by 36-40%	40 Point(s)
19. Irr.Crop #6 The treatment will improve irrigation efficiency by more than 41%	85 Point(s)
20. Irr.Crop #7Distance to a live body of water 100ft or less (Measured from end of field to re-entry to live system)?	50 Point(s)
21. Irr.Crop #8 Distance to ground water is 20 ft or less?	50 Point(s)
22. Irr.Crop #9 Producer willing to document irrigation usage after system is applied?	50 Point(s)
23. Irr.Crop #10 Does landowner have Class A, B, or C weed(s) on land treated, and is or willing to address weed issue with SWCD and Extension?	50 Point(s)
24. Irr.Crop #11 Will this treatment bring the planned land units to an RMS level management?	65 Point(s)
25. Irr.Crop #12 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule?	-50 Point(s)
26. Irr.Crop #13 Does the applicant have a current EQIP contract, that is not being applied or on schedule?	-50 Point(s)